

# TOPOLOGICAL MODULAR FORMS AND MAASS FORMS SEMINAR: LECTURE 1

LUCA CANDELORI

In the first part of this seminar we will be concerned with analytic properties of  $L$ -functions, such as analytic continuations, functional equations and special values. We will start by exploring the analytic properties of the most elementary  $L$ -function, the *Riemann  $\zeta$  function*. This is a function of  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  defined by:

$$\zeta(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}.$$

For  $\Re[s] > 1$  the above series converges uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$ , and therefore it is an analytic function there. The goal of this lecture is to present Riemann's proof of the functional equation of  $\zeta$  relating  $\zeta(s)$  to  $\zeta(1-s)$ . As a by-product, we will see that  $\zeta(s)$  has meromorphic continuation to all of  $\mathbb{C}$  with a simple pole at  $s = 1$ .

The functional equation of  $\zeta$  is stated in terms of the  **$\Gamma$ -function**, a classical complex analytic function whose basic properties we briefly recall.

**DEFINITION 1.** For  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , the  $\Gamma$ -function is defined as:

$$\Gamma(s) := \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} t^s \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Note that the integral defining the  $\Gamma$ -function converges at  $\infty$  for all  $s$ , but at 0 it only converges for  $\Re[s] > 0$ . How can we then extend  $\Gamma$  to all of  $\mathbb{C}$ ? The idea is to use the following property of  $\Gamma(s)$ :

**THEOREM 2** (Functional equation of  $\Gamma(s)$ ). *For all  $s$  such that  $\Re[s] > 0$ ,*

$$\Gamma(s+1) = s\Gamma(s)$$

*Proof.* Exercise. (Hint: use integration by parts.) □

Using this functional equation, we can extend  $\Gamma$  to  $\Re[s] < 0$  by recursively setting  $\Gamma(s) := \Gamma(s+1)/s$  (note that the pole at 0 is nevertheless carried over in the analytic continuation). Consequently, we obtain

- $\Gamma(s)$  extends to a meromorphic function on all of  $\mathbb{C}$  with simple poles at all negative integers.

- For all positive integers  $n$ ,

$$\Gamma(n) = (n - 1)!$$

Therefore  $\Gamma$  can be viewed as a complex analytic function interpolating the values of the factorial function.

- $\Gamma(s) \neq 0$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ . This can be seen from the well-known identity

$$\Gamma(s)\Gamma(1 - s) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi s)}$$

together with the functional equation.

We are now ready to state the functional equation of the Riemann zeta function:

**THEOREM 3** (Functional equation of  $\zeta(s)$ ). *Let  $\Lambda(s) := \pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)\zeta(s)$ . Then*

$$\Lambda(s) = \Lambda(1 - s).$$

for all  $s$  with  $\Re[s] > 1$ .

Now by definition  $\zeta(s)$  converges for  $\Re[s] > 1$ . Thanks to the functional equation of Theorem 3, we can extend  $\zeta(s)$  to  $\Re[s] < 0$ . Convergence on the remaining strip  $0 \leq \Re[s] \leq 1$  (the **critical strip**) will be deduced as a by-product of the proof of Theorem 3.

We will follow Riemann's proof of Theorem 3, which will lend itself to a wide range of generalizations. The proof exploits the **theta function**  $\theta : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by:

$$\theta(t) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\pi n^2 t}.$$

We want to view this function as a **Mellin transform**.

**DEFINITION 4.** Let  $g : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a continuous function of rapid decay (i.e.  $|g(t)| \ll t^{-N} \forall N \geq 0$ ). Then the Mellin transform of  $g$  is the function:

$$M(g)(s) := \int_0^\infty g(t)t^s \frac{dt}{t}$$

Note that the rapid decay of  $g$  implies that the integral defining the Mellin transform always converges at  $\infty$ .

**EXAMPLE 5.**  $\Gamma(s) = M(e^{-t})(s)$ .

In the proof of Theorem 3 the basic principle is that  $\Lambda(s)$  **essentially is the Mellin transform of  $\theta$** . The transformation properties of  $\theta$  (which is our first example of a 'modular form', to be defined later) then translate into the functional equation of  $\Lambda$  via the Mellin transform.

An immediate problem with this idea is that  $\theta(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\pi n^2 t}$  is **not a function of rapid decay**, since the constant term in the series is not of rapid decay. We then replace  $\theta$

by:

$$\omega(t) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 t}$$

which is related to  $\theta$  by:

$$\theta(t) = 1 + 2\omega(t) \quad , \quad \omega(t) = \frac{\theta(t) - 1}{2}.$$

The function  $\omega(t)$  is of rapid decay, and therefore we can take its Mellin transform.

**THEOREM 6.**

$$M(\omega)(s) = \pi^{-s} \Gamma(s) \zeta(2s) = \Lambda(2s)$$

*Proof.* By definition, we have:

$$M(\omega)(s) = \int_0^{\infty} \omega(t) t^s \frac{dt}{t} = \int_0^{\infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 t} \right) t^s \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Now all the terms in the infinite series are of rapid decay, and therefore we can switch the order of integration (exercise!):

$$\int_0^{\infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 t} \right) t^s \frac{dt}{t} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 t} \cdot t^s \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Each term of the series looks almost like a  $\Gamma$  function. In fact, if we make the change of variables  $u = \pi n^2 t$  for each term in the series, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 t} \cdot t^s \frac{dt}{t} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-u} \pi^{-s} n^{-2s} u^s \frac{du}{u} \\ &= \pi^{-s} \cdot \left( \int_0^{\infty} e^{-u} u^s \frac{du}{u} \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2s} \right) \\ &= \pi^{-s} \Gamma(s) \zeta(2s) \end{aligned}$$

□

The point of expressing  $\Lambda(s)$  as the Mellin transform of  $\omega(t)$  is that  $\omega$  enjoys nice transformation properties coming from those of  $\theta$ .

**THEOREM 7** (Functional equation for  $\theta$ ). *For all  $t > 0$ ,*

$$\theta\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) = \sqrt{t} \cdot \theta(t).$$

*Proof.* The proof uses Poisson summation, and can be found in every classical reference on theta functions. □

COROLLARY 8 (Functional equation for  $\omega$ ). *For all  $t > 0$ ,*

$$\omega\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) = \sqrt{t} \cdot \omega(t) + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2} - \frac{1}{2}.$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \omega\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) &= \frac{\theta(1/t) - 1}{2} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{t} \cdot \theta(t) - 1}{2} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{t} \cdot (1 + 2\omega(t)) - 1}{2} \\ &= \sqrt{t} \cdot \omega(t) + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

□

We are now ready to prove the functional equation of  $\zeta(s)$  and its analytic continuation (to the critical strip as well).

*Proof of Theorem 3.* By Theorem 6 we know that:

$$\Lambda(s) = M(\omega)(s/2) = \int_0^\infty \omega(t)t^{s/2} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

This integral converges for all  $s$  near  $\infty$ , since  $\omega$  is of rapid decay. However, the convergence at 0 will depend on the growth of  $\omega(t)$  near 0. Now

$$\omega(t) \approx C \cdot t^{-1/2} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{Exercise})$$

and therefore the integral converges provided  $\Re[s] > 1$  (we know that  $\Lambda$  has a pole at  $s = 1$  coming from  $\zeta$ , therefore we cannot hope to go past that just by using the definition).

Next, we break down the integral into two pieces:

$$(1) \quad \int_0^\infty \omega(t)t^{s/2} \frac{dt}{t} = \int_0^1 \omega(t)t^{s/2} \frac{dt}{t} + \int_1^\infty \omega(t)t^{s/2} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Note that the second integral in (1) converges for all  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , whereas the first integral only converges for  $\Re[s] > 1$ . We would then like to change the first integral into one that looks like the second, i.e. with limits from 1 to  $\infty$  and with  $\omega$  in the integrand. Of course, this can be accomplished with the substitution  $t \rightarrow 1/t$  and by using the functional equation for  $\omega$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \omega(t)t^{s/2} \frac{dt}{t} &= \int_\infty^1 \omega\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) t^{-s/2} \frac{-dt}{t} \quad (\text{substitution } t \rightarrow 1/t) \\ &= \int_1^\infty \left( \sqrt{t} \cdot \omega(t) + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) t^{-s/2} \frac{dt}{t} \quad (\text{functional equation of } \omega.) \end{aligned}$$

Substituting into (1) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(s) &= \int_0^\infty \omega(t)t^{s/2} \frac{dt}{t} = \int_1^\infty \omega(t)t^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \frac{dt}{t} + \int_1^\infty \omega(t)t^{s/2} \frac{dt}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^\infty t^{\frac{-1-s}{2}} dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_1^\infty t^{-1-s/2} dt \\ &= \int_1^\infty \omega(t)t^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \frac{dt}{t} + \int_1^\infty \omega(t)t^{s/2} \frac{dt}{t} - \frac{1}{1-s} - \frac{1}{s}.\end{aligned}$$

From this expression we deduce that  $\Lambda(s)$  has meromorphic continuation to all of  $\mathbb{C}$  with simple poles at  $s = 0$  and  $s = 1$  and moreover that

$$\Lambda(s) = \Lambda(1-s).$$

□

Since  $\Lambda(s) = \pi^{s/2}\Gamma(s/2)\zeta(s)$ , and  $\Gamma(s) \neq 0$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , we obtain the following consequences of Theorem 3:

- $\zeta(s)$  has a pole at  $s = 1$  (since  $\Gamma(s)$  is analytic at  $s = 1/2$  but  $\Lambda(s)$  has a simple pole at  $s = 1$ ).
- $\zeta(s)$  is analytic at 0 and  $\zeta(0) = -1/2 \neq 0$  (since  $\Gamma(s)$  has a simple pole at  $s = 0$  and so does  $\Lambda(s)$ ).
- $\zeta(s)$  vanishes at all even integers  $< 0$  (since  $\Gamma(s)$  has poles at negative integers but  $\Lambda(s)$  does not).

These observations should give a rough picture of how  $\zeta(s)$  looks like in the region  $\Re[s] < 1$ .